

## **WO9949782**

Publication Title:

MEDICAL ULTRASOUND IMAGING

Abstract:

A method of measuring the position of the tip (2) of a catheter (1) comprises continuously monitoring the distance the catheter has travelled past a reference point (A), and monitoring the orientation of the tip (2) and reconstructing the trajectory of the catheter tip.

-----

Courtesy of <http://worldwide.espacenet.com>



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>A61B 5/06, 8/12</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 99/49782</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 7 October 1999 (07.10.99)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/GB98/02677 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 4 September 1998 (04.09.98) <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 9806465.2                      27 March 1998 (27.03.98)                      GB <b>(71) Applicant:</b> INTRAVASCULAR RESEARCH LTD. [GB/GB]; Unit 3a, Centaurs Business Park, Grants Way, Isleworth, Middlesex TW7 5QD (GB). <b>(72) Inventors:</b> DICKINSON, Robert, Julian; 37 Broomwood Road, London SW11 6HU (GB). SPENCER, Timothy; 68A Silver Crescent, Chiswick, London W4 5SE (GB). STENNING, Anthony; 13 Henchley Dene, Merrow Common, Guildford, Surrey GU4 7BH (GB). <b>(74) Agent:</b> BURRINGTON, Alan, Graham, Headford; Alan Bur- rington & Associates, 41 The Street, Ashted, Surrey KT21 1AA (GB).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> MEDICAL ULTRASOUND IMAGING  <div> </div> <b>(57) Abstract</b> <p>A method of measuring the position of the tip (2) of a catheter (1) comprises continuously monitoring the distance the catheter has travelled past a reference point (A), and monitoring the orientation of the tip (2) and reconstructing the trajectory of the catheter tip.</p>		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## MEDICAL ULTRASOUND IMAGING

The present invention relates to medical ultrasonic imaging and in particular to such systems which utilise a catheter insertable into a patient and carrying an ultrasonic transducer array at or near its distal end.

This invention relates to the use of catheters in medical practice, and in particular to using catheters for diagnosis and treatment in the heart, either in the chambers of the heart, or in the coronary arteries. In many procedures it is valuable to have information on the location of the catheter tip within the body. One example is in intra-vascular ultrasound imaging (IVUS) where by pulling back the catheter on a trajectory defined by a guide-wire the two-dimensional images can be stacked to give a three dimensional representation of the inside of the artery. Our UK patent 2,246,632 discloses such a system. In current methods the trajectory is assumed to be a straight line in the absence of other information, and so the representation may not faithfully represent the true three dimensional (3-D) shape of the vessel. Another example of such a procedure is electro-physiology, where the electrical signals of the heart are measured at a number of different positions around the heart wall, and the location of each measurement is important.

There are a number of techniques for position measurement, usually relying on external radiation. The position of the tip on two bi-plane X-rays has been used to calculate the tip position in three dimensions (3-D reconstruction from biplane-angiography by C.R. Moll IBM internal report UKSC124, Sept. 1984). Another technique uses an ultrasound transmitter located in the catheter and external ultrasound imaging, (Bryer et.al. Medical and

Biological Engineering and Computing, 268-271, 1985). Many techniques use low frequency magnetic fields to induce a signal in a coil mounted in the catheter, the amplitude of the signal being dependent on the position and orientation of the coil relative to the applied external magnetic field, examples of this method being described in US 4,017,858, US 5,042,486 and US 4,045,881. A further example of this is PCT/US95/01103, where three transmit planar coils are placed on the patient bed, and three orthogonal receive coils placed in the catheter. By exciting the transmit coils at different frequencies, and appropriate analysis, the three spatial co-ordinates and three orientation angles of the catheter tip can be calculated.

There are a number of devices that attempt to measure or control the linear distance that a catheter is inserted into the patient. One example of a device that controls the catheter position by using the motor drive translation of the catheter in the body is given in WO94/00052, and an example of a device which measures the position is given in WO93/20876. These devices have the significant disadvantage that they have to be separated from the sterile field by a sterile drape.

The objectives of the present invention are to provide:

- a) a system whereby the position/orientation of the distal tip of a catheter within a patients body  
can be sensed and identified;
- b) a simple device for measuring or controlling the linear distance that a catheter is

inserted into a patient.

With regard to a) above a system according to the present invention measures the tip orientation and the scalar trajectory length at points in time from a datum start position and from these calculates the three-dimensional shape of the tip trajectory and thus the tip position at any time.

This is possible because it has been recognised by the inventors that the orientation and position of the catheter tip are not independent if the time history is known because the catheter can only move along its axis when pushed over a guide wire.

The scalar trajectory; (i.e. the length which the catheter has been inserted into the patient) could be measured or controlled by a motor using a linear detector as disclosed in our co-pending application 9718984. However, the present invention is also concerned with providing a simplified device for this purpose.

According to the present invention such a linear detector is hand held, is incorporated in the same sterilised package as the catheter and is disposable with the catheter, and relies on manual driving of the catheter, i.e. no motor is involved as required with prior- art devices which rely on a steady predictable pull-back rate for their effective operation.

How the invention may be carried out will now be described by way of example only and with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 illustrates diagrammatically a typical curvilinear length of catheter;

Figure 2 illustrates a catheter position sensor device in position at or near the proximal end of the catheter;

Figure 3 illustrates the use of gyroscopes to detect the orientation of the catheter tip;

Figure 4 illustrates the use of accelerometers to detect the orientation of the catheter tip;

Figure 5 illustrates the use of the fluctuations in the ultrasound echoes to determine the orientation of the catheter tip;

Figure 6 illustrates a first use of magnetic fields to measure the catheter tip orientation;

Figure 7 illustrates a second use of magnetic fields to measure the catheter tip orientation;  
and

Figure 8 is a diagrammatic view of a pull-back device according to the present invention; and

Figure 9 is a plan-ghost view showing the pull-back device in more detail but with the extension tube-sheath A1 of Figure 8 omitted.

## **Figure 1**

Consider a curvilinear length of catheter 1 having a tip 2. If  $\underline{p}$  is the unit vector of the tip orientation,  $\underline{r}$  is the position of the tip 2 relative to a fixed reference point and  $l$  is the length of the catheter 1 from that reference point (Figure 1) then for a given movement increment  $dl$ ;

$$\underline{dr} = \underline{p} . dl$$

$$\underline{r}(t) = \int_0^l \underline{p} . dl = \int_0^t \underline{p}(t) \frac{dl(t)}{dt} dt$$

Thus if the tip orientation and scalar trajectory length are known at all points in time from a particular start position RP, then the 3d shape of the trajectory is calculable and the tip position at any time is also known. This assumes that:

- i) the only changes in position are those caused by a movement along the trajectory, and
- ii) the trajectory the tip has previously passed over does not change.

Both these assumptions will be true for small movements such as when pulling a catheter back over a guidewire during an ultrasound examination. Thus to estimate position the time history of two pieces of information are required:

- The scalar distance  $l(t)$  the catheter has moved past a reference point;
- The orientation  $p(t)$  of the catheter tip against the laboratory frame of reference.

**Figure 2**

Figure 2 shows the arrangement to measure catheter position using a sensor device A which is described in more detail later with reference to Figure 8, the patient's skin being indicated at 3, the sensor A being located outside the patient and the length of catheter 1 to the left of the patient's skin 3 being located within the patient.

The scalar distance can be easily measured by the device A located external to the patient measuring the distance travelled by the portion of the catheter external to the patient. This distance is equal to the distance travelled by the catheter tip, assuming that stretching or other distortion of the catheter is negligible and there is no slack to be taken up.

The orientation of the catheter tip is determined by an orientation sensor arrangement indicated at D and described in more detail later with reference to Figures 3 to 7.

**Figure 8**

This figure illustrates the catheter position sensor device A in more detail, this device being designed to be hand-held. The pull-back of the catheter is achieved manually by the clinician/operator, but an electrical connection to a signal processing unit B enables positional information to be recorded, facilitating the display of 'distance travelled' on a CRT Screen and more accurate reconstruction of 3-d data sets and the potential of real-time display of longitudinal cross-sections to be achieved. Alternatively the distance travelled is indicated on

a digital display mounted on the device A. As long as the operator pulls the catheter back within a reasonable range of speeds, the need for a motor's constant rate-of-pullback is largely made redundant by the transmission of positional/speed information to the imaging system. Although sacrificing smooth pull-backs, the omission of a motor has a number of advantages, one of the most important being that of a lighter, smaller unit.

The hand-held deviceA is included in the catheter packaging, being supplied as a sterile, single-use disposable unit. Having threaded the catheter1 through the deviceA, it can be moved up to the vessel entrance sheath, where it will be held against a so-called Tuey valve 20. For power and communications, a slim cable 200 connects the deviceA to a catheter interface moduleC or a similar unit which, in turn, will be connected to the signal processing unit B..

As the catheter is moved relative to the unitA it detects the movement by means of a spindle50 and wheel 150 which gently pinch the catheter1. The rotation of the spindle50 is encoded by an optical LCD transmitter/detector pair70 mounted across a slotted wheel60. the pulses generated by this circuit are processed by a mouse controller chip140 which subsequently sends a serial stream of positional data to theUnitC (or its equivalent) and ultimately, is processed by the imaging systemB. In this way each image frame can be 'position-stamped'.

The catheter 1 is to be threaded through the device A at the beginning of the investigation which is left on the catheter for the duration of the study, being slid out of the way when not required for pull-back. The design of the pinch-roller mechanism50, 150 is critical since the

catheter 1 must be gripped without slippage, but not so much that there is resistance to catheter 1 movement or that the catheter 1 body is damaged in any way.

The sensor A has a tubular extension A1 through which the catheter freely moves. This tube is inserted into the Tuey valve that can be tightened to clamp down onto the tube hence preventing movement between the Tuey valve and the sensor. In catheters known as rapid-exchange or monorail devices the guide wire 40 will be positioned outside the catheter and outside the monorail devices the guide wire 40 will be positioned outside the catheter and outside the tube A1, and is clamped to the tube when the Tuey valve is tightened. This allows the catheter to be moved without moving the guide-wire position. Alternatively the Tuey valve 20 and unit A could be integrated into a single unit.

All entrance apertures of the unit A must guide the catheter 1 gently into the desired position by means of smooth funnels, 80, 180, 170 preferable coated with Teflon or an equivalent low-friction coating. The catheter 1 is guided through the rollers 50, 150 with sufficient restriction of lateral movement to ensure that the catheter 1 cannot wander off from the central portion of the roller contact area.

The spindle 50 of the slotted wheel 60, which the catheter 1 must rotate as it moves through the device A, must have a high-friction surface to avoid slippage. To achieve this the spindle 58 has a rough surface and is coated with a rubber-like compound, or may have fine serrations around its circumference to increase its grip. The other important contribution to catheter-spindle friction is the diameter of the spindle 30. Although a larger radius will supply a greater contact surface area. A smaller radius spindle 50 will provide a greater

sensitivity to movement for a wheel-encoder with a given number of slots. A number of spindle-diameter slot-number combinations are given in Table 1 below along with the resulting position-measuring resolutions. A detailed knowledge of the surface properties of the both the catheter 1 and spindle surfaces 50 and the pinching force supplied by the roller wheel 150 would be required to calculate the gripping characteristics of the mechanism. The choice of optimum spindle diameter 50 for a given encoder gradation will be done empirically. With finer slots in the encoder wheel 50 it must be ensured that the transmitter beam is not too wide so that it travels through more than one slot at a time.

Spindle diameter (mm)	No. of slots in encoder wheel	Resolution (smallest unit of measurement) mm
2	20	0.075
2	30	0.500
2	40	0.040
3	20	0.120
3	30	0.750
3	40	0.060
4	20	0.160
4	30	0.100
4	40	0.075

There are two possible approaches to pinch wheel design 150. One option is to have a relatively hard material (e.g. nylon) for the wheel, which is forced against the catheter 1 by a sprung cantilever. The second approach is to have a pinch-wheel made of a softer material, like rubber, whose elastic properties (springiness) provides the pinching force. One of the advantages of the latter option is the ability of the soft material to accommodate any slight kinks or irregularities in the catheter 1. For either technique it is advantageous to be able to adjust the position of the pinch wheel 150, to provide a variable pinch force, so that the optimum contact pressure can be found empirically.

The free rotation of the encoder wheel is aided by the use of low friction materials at the point at which the spindle sits in the housing so that the use of lubricant oil is avoided.. In order to prevent the required pinching force from damaging the catheter when not carrying out a pull-back a mechanism which can engage/disengage the spindle and roller may be provided.

In order to prevent the ingress of blood into the device A a set of brushes or a low friction valve may be provided at 170.

Ideally the final longitudinal resolution of any 3-d data set should be between 0.1mm and 0.2mm between slices. Given that the slice thickness of the ultrasound beam is  $\sim 0.2\text{mm}$ , then this 50% overlap gives parity with the axial and lateral resolutions of the ultrasound image. However, given the well documented error in this type of 3-d acquisition (without external 3-d catheter 1 tip location such as that calculated from angiography data) then this goal is inappropriate. A more realistic longitudinal resolution would be 0.5 mm. The position of frames captured within this period can be considered to be equidistantly spaced (i.e. that the catheter 1 can be considered to be moving at a constant velocity over this small range.

The cable connecting the Unit A to Unit B needs to be light so that it does not weigh down the catheter 1 more than the unit A itself. In Figure 8 a five wire connection 90 to the cable 20 is used but a four wire connection may well suffice. The cable is also supplied sterile.

The body 100 of the unit A is designed for easy grip by the operator's hand and facilitates the maintenance of a stable contact between the Unit A and the Tukey valve 20. A reset button 120 (microswitch) is mounted on the thumb grip 130 so that it can be operated by the thumb of the operator's hand, allowing the other hand to remain holding the catheter 1. This reset sets the distance measure to zero. The guide wire travels through the same value as the catheter, but not through the position sensor. The electronic components within the unit A are indicated at 110. The pinch roller unit 150 is provided with a spring tension adjusting screw 160.

### Figure 3

In a first method the orientation of the catheter tip 2 is measured using a 3-axis solid state gyroscope mounted at the catheter tip. Gyroscopes will determine changes in orientation from the original orientation, and hence by integrating over time the orientation vector  $p$  can be established. Small solid state gyroscopes can be constructed using vibrating sensors, (reference to be added). Examples of suitable devices are the ENV-05A GYROSTAR piezo gyroscope manufactured by Murata, that gives a signal proportional to angular velocity. The gyroscope is mounted using known die mounting techniques.

If the gyroscope assembly 4 consists of three mutually perpendicular gyroscopes giving outputs  $U_\theta, U_\phi, U_\psi$  respectively

*define* :  $\underline{U} = (U_\theta, U_\phi, U_\psi)$

*then*

$$\underline{U}(t) = c \cdot \underline{\omega}(t)$$

$\omega$  = angular \_ velocity

$$\underline{p}(t) = \underline{p}_0 + \frac{1}{c} \int_0^t \underline{U}(t) dt$$

$p_0$  = initial \_ orientatio n

c is a calibration constant of the gyroscope device.

#### Figure 4

A second method of detecting the orientation of the tip 2 of the catheter is to use a three-axis accelerometer assembly 5(x), 5(y) 5(z) mounted in the tip. The accelerometer assembly 5 can be based on known designs of micro-machined silicon cantilevers (5A, 5B), which are miniaturised to fit inside a catheter 1 of diameter 1-2mm. If then a small acceleration to the whole body in a known direction is applied, then by standard vector component algebra the orientation of the accelerometers and hence that of the catheter 1 tip relative to the acceleration can be calculated. The acceleration can be generated by a series of very small displacements, or by a small amplitude sinusoidal vibration. The displacement can be less than 0.1mm and still generate sufficient acceleration to produce an adequate signal from the accelerometer. The amplitude of the vibration is not important as long as the direction is pre-determined. In another embodiment, no external acceleration is required and the accelerometer is used to measure the force produced by the weight of the accelerometer mass.

which is always in the vertical direction. This has the advantage that no modifications to the patient bed are required. In effect the accelerometer arrangement acts as a plumb line inside the catheter 1, allowing the continual monitoring of the vertical direction. An example of a suitable accelerometer is the ADXL05 manufactured by Analog Devices, Norwood, MA 02063-9105. This device is supplied packaged and in the design described here the unpackaged silicon die is mounted on the catheter 1 using known multi-chip module techniques. An example of a mounting technique of a die in a catheter 1 is given in our published UK patent application No. 2,287,375.

### Figure 5

A third method applicable to the pull-back of a catheter 1 in an artery 6 relies on the principle that the orientation changes when the catheter 1 turns a corner, when the outside of the curve will travel past at a greater speed than the inside. The fluctuations of ultrasound echoes, signals A and B in Figure 5 can be used to estimate the velocity of tissue past the catheter 1.

One of the main sources of error in the reconstruction of 3-D pull-back sequences is the non-linear relationship between the movement of the catheter body 1 as it is pulled at the proximal end and the corresponding displacement of the imaging tip 2 at the distal end. Regardless of whether the catheter 1 is pulled back by a stepper-motor (step pitch known), D.C. motor, or by hand, any positional information is derived from a measurement of linear displacement at the catheter 1 entrance point (femoral artery). However accurately this measurement may be carried out, the technique assumes that each linear 'pull' of the catheter 1 translates to a linear movement of the same magnitude at the tip. Due to the tortuous path

of the catheter 1 and the likely occurrence of slack, significant errors are likely in the estimation of the tip movement. Moreover, any movement through a bend will still be reconstructed, in error, as a linear section of vessel.

Current techniques for detecting the tip position in 3-dimensional space rely on the use of orthogonal angiographic data and are both computationally intensive and relatively crude in their location of the catheter 1.

In the embodiment of the invention illustrated in Figure 5 it is proposed to accurately record the catheter 1 tip position by processing the ultrasound signals received by the imaging array. By comparing consecutively-fired A-scan lines at one position in the array, it is possible to detect and quantify any changes in the signal over time. In this context, such signal changes in the vessel wall are most likely to be caused by displacement of the scatterer volume with respect to the beam. This displacement could be due to the physiological contraction and dilation of the vessel during the cardiac cycle (which may also cause slight movement of the tip with respect to the vessel) or the longitudinal movement of the catheter 1 during a pullback. Within the lumen, the passing of red blood cells (RBCs) will also disrupt the signal between successive A-scan lines, due to the constant transit of RBC scatters through the ultrasound beam.

The detection of scatterer displacement could be done by cross-correlation or speckle-tracking techniques. In either case, the section of each A-scan corresponding to the vessel wall signal would have to be identified and from such sections the algorithm would have to be able to discriminate between changes in back-scatter due to the longitudinal

movement of the catheter 1 (pullback) and changes due to other effects (vessel pulsatility and non-longitudinal movements).

The classical approach to the comparison of A-scan data and the most commonly used, is that of cross-correlation. The correlation coefficient has been used extensively in calculating strain within the vessel wall ('elasticity imaging') and recently the decorrelation rate of the RBC scatters within the lumen has been used to map blood flow using RF data from IVUS probes. In the latter application the signal decorrelation due to the passing blood is many times greater than that of the vessel wall (time constants:  $T_{c \text{ (blood)}} \sim 1 \text{ msec}$  c.f.  $\text{wall} \gg 6 \text{ msec}$ ).

This enables signals within the lumen to be segmented with minimal error. The sources of decorrelation in the remaining section of signals would have been due to a combination of the following wall motion (the same scatterers moving axially within the beam in sympathy with the cardiac cycle); wall compression/expansion (a change in the density of scatters over the cardiac cycle); noise (random changes in amplitude and phase); transducer beam characteristics; and any longitudinal movement of the catheter 1 (new scatterers entering/old scatters leaving the beam volume).

Assuming a concentrically positioned catheter 1, the scatterer effects due to vessel pulsatility will, theoretically, only manifest themselves as axial decorrelation (i.e. along the direction of the beam): wall movement ( $\sim 1 \text{ mm, s}^{-1}$ ) will produce a phase shift in the A-scan resulting in a large decorrelation component. Therefore, A-scan lines have to be phase-matched before the correlation coefficient is calculated. Compressional changes will cause local phase and amplitude changes in the signal as scatterer spacings change over the cardiac cycle. This too will contribute to de-correlation but, unlike the wall motion artefact, will not be removed by

straightforward phase-matching. However if ECG-gating is used to select frames during diastole, then the effect of wall motion and wall compression should be minimised.

Having phase-matched the RF lines then the cross-correlation coefficients are calculated within common overlapping range-gated windows. For blood flow mapping there is a trade-off between short windows (to increase the spatial resolution of the final parametric image and to limit the effect of the velocity gradient inherent in parabolic flow) and longer windows (less error in de-correlation estimation). The application of this technique to detect longitudinal catheter motion requires only that an estimation of pull-back speed be calculated for each of four axial positions (north, south, east and west). Since no image is required to be made with this data, then a longer window is more appropriate and should give a more accurate measure of the correlation coefficient. The only disadvantage with having a single long window is that the decorrelation measure on a tight corner will effectively be derived from the average of small displacements at the vessel lumen and larger displacements at a greater depth.

For the measurement of lateral scatterer movements a calibration has to be carried out for the transducer, since the decorrelation slope for lateral displacements is range dependent (due to the beam profile). The use of a phased-array device has the potential benefit in having more uniform beam characteristics, particularly with regard to the axial intensity profile in the near field. A further benefit of measuring decorrelation in the vessel wall, as opposed to within the lumen, is that measurements in the very near field will be avoided for all but very eccentric catheter positions, avoiding the influence of side-lobe and catheter-to-catheter beam variations.

It is stipulated that a signal-to-noise ratio of the imaging system of greater than 20dB is required if correlation errors of less than 10% are to be achieved.

The measurement of pull-back speed using the decorrelation technique would essentially be exploiting the same phenomenon as is measured in the blood flow application, the lateral displacement of scatterers across the ultrasound beam. Although the rate of lateral displacement for a pull-back would be orders of magnitude slower, both applications require the removal of contributions from other sources of signal decorrelation. Phase-matching of successive A-scan lines will be essential to remove axial decorrelation due to wall motion, but some degree of ECG-gating is necessary to avoid the likely decorrelating effects of tissue compression during systole, since it will cause an increase in scatterer density.

The segmentation of the vessel wall from the lumen should be provided by the decorrelation measurement itself, given that the rates are significantly different. In vivo blood flow experiments have shown a decorrelation time constant (time for correlation function to fall to 1/10) for blood to be 1msec, compared with a value of  $>>6$ msec for the vessel wall (chosen during diastole when there was minimal vessel contraction/dilation). Experimental implementations of the blood flow measurement technique have used pulse repetition frequencies (PRFs) of 4KHz to 5kHz which have aimed to measure standard physiological blood flows of 40 -80 cm/s. Assuming a loosely linear relationship between the velocity of the target and the PRF that is required to quantify this, a pull-back speed of 1mm/s could be detected with PRFs as low as 10Hz - 13Hz. Given a PRF of 30Hz, pull back speeds up to  $\sim 4$ mm/s should be able to be measured at a similar resolution. If this implementation was

successful then pull-back sequences captured with a frame rate of 30Hz could be processed, off-line, to enable their correct 3-dimensional reconstruction. By calculating displacements at each time interval in the four compass directions (north, south, east and west) then the orientation of the catheter 1 with respect to the lumen can be ascertained. This will enable vessel bends to be reconstructed properly since outer curvatures will produce greater displacements than inner surfaces.

Although possibly not as accurate, the demodulated 1-signal could be used for cross-correlation calculations instead of the raw received signal.

#### **Figures 6 and 7**

A fourth method of measuring the orientation of tip 2 is to use magnetic fields. The present invention measures the magnetic field orientation only, so the requirements on the field are only to have a uniform vector direction. The patient (not shown) lies on a bed 7 which is provided with an electrical coil arrangement 8 powered by a generator and designed to produce a uniform current sheet having a uniform vector direction.

Fields with a uniform vector direction can easily be generated for example by the arrangement disclosed in UK patent 2 226 138.

The tip 2 of the catheter is provided with an arrangement of three coils 9a, 9b and 9c positioned mutually orthogonally in directions x, y and z. The way in which the field generated by the coil 8 couples with the coils 9a, 9b, 9c is described in US 4,017,858 US


4,045,881 and PCT/US95/01103. The current sheet 8 produces a field parallel to the bed 7, and couples with the three perpendicular coils 9a, 9b, 9c mounted in the catheter tip 2.




Alternatively two bed mounted coils could be used which produce perpendicular fields, which are distinguished by exciting at different frequencies. The orientation vector can then be established by two coils in the catheter 1.

**CLAIMS**

1. A method of measuring the position of the tip of a catheter comprises continuously monitoring the distance the catheter has travelled past a reference point, and monitoring the orientation of the tip and reconstructing the trajectory of the catheter tip.
2. A method as claimed in claim 1 in which the orientation of the tip is monitored by means of signals from gyroscopes mounted at or near the distal end of the catheter.
3. A method as claimed in claim 1 in which the orientation of the tip is monitored by means of signals from accelerometers mounted at or near the distal end of the catheter.
4. A method as claimed in claim 1 in which the orientation of the tip is monitored by detecting bends in the catheter using the fluctuations in echoes from the patients artery, or the like, wall.
5. A method as claimed in claim 1 in which the orientation of the tip is monitored by creating a magnetic field in the area of the tip and detecting variations in the magnetic field as a result of changes in the orientation of the tip.
6. Apparatus for carrying out the methods of claims 2 to 5 substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to and as shown in Figures 3 to 7 respectively of the accompanying drawings.
7. A pull back device which comprises a hand-held unit adapted to have a catheter passed therethrough and to be drawn therethrough by hand, the unit incorporating means to generate a signal indicative of the length of catheter that has passed therethrough and means to electrically connect the unit to a data processor whereby there can be provided an indication of the length of catheter that has been inserted into the patient.

8. A pull back device as claimed in claim 7 having a tubular extension or sheath which is adapted to have a Tuey or like valve mounted therein and clamped thereto to prevent movement between the Tuey or like valve and the unit or catheter sensor.
9. A pull-back device substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to and as shown in Figure 8 of the accompanying drawings.





3/6







FIG.5



5/6





FIG. 9



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/02677

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 6 A61B5/06 A61B8/12

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A61B A61M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 96 32060 A (NAVION BIOMEDICAL CORP) 17 October 1996 see page 8, line 7 - page 9, line 14; table 1	1,5,6
X	WO 95 06436 A (HATANGADI RAM ;BASHEIN GERARD (US); MARTIN ROY W (US); UNIV WASHIN) 9 March 1995 see page 4, line 33 - page 8, line 15; tables 1-5	1,5,6
A	WO 96 34563 A (CEDARS SINAI MEDICAL CENTER) 7 November 1996 see page 3, line 4 - page 4, line 10 -/--	2,3

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- Z" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

24 November 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

01/12/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Weihs, J

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/02677

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>WO 93 20876 A (DU MED BV ;EGMOND  FRANCISCUS CORNELIS VAN (NL); LANCEE  CHARLES THE) 28 October 1993  cited in the application  see page 6, line 2 - page 9, line 28;  tables 1-5</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	7

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/02677

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9632060 A	17-10-1996	US 5645065 A AU 5480096 A CA 2218093 A EP 0822778 A	08-07-1997 30-10-1996 17-10-1996 11-02-1998
WO 9506436 A	09-03-1995	US 5398691 A AU 7679894 A	21-03-1995 22-03-1995
WO 9634563 A	07-11-1996	US 5701900 A AU 5718496 A EP 0823841 A	30-12-1997 21-11-1996 18-02-1998
WO 9320876 A	28-10-1993	US 5709661 A	20-01-1998